

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,659	01/24/2002	Kazushi Torii	43379	8146
1609 75	590 09/24/2004		EXAMINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
SUITE 600	REDI, IV. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON,, DC 20036		1731	

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/053,659	TORII ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ana L. Woodward	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	•	S
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM  ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  15 from the mailing date of this commun	nication.
Status		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24/2002, 4/9/2002	4/23/2004, 6/22/2004	f
2a)		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		s, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
-	Claim(s) $37-81$ is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) $37-47$ is/are withdra	on 58, 64, 65, 71, 72 4	74-77	J
5) <u></u> 6) <b>⊠</b>	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 48-50, 53-56, 59-63, 66-76 Claim(s) 48-50 is/are rejected.			
/	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) 37-8/are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	` '
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☑ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		eceived in this National Stage	е
* 0	application from the International Burea		acived	
3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not re	cerveu.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
· —	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) X Inforr Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/053,659

Art Unit: 1711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Modified Election/Restrictions

1. In light of Applicants' comments submitted June 22, 2004, the restriction requirement mandated in the last office action has been modified as follows:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 37-47, 51, 52, 57, 58, 64, 65, 71, 72 and 74-77, drawn to a two-component system, classified in class 525, subclass various.
- II. Claims 48-50, 53-56, 59-63, 66-70, 73 and 78-81, drawn to a one-component system, classified in class 524, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding or coating composition in and of itself without the presence of additional resin materials which would react in-situ to produce a mutually exclusive final product and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/053,659 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on June 22, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the action does not identify which of the claims are directed to an intermediate and which are directed to the final product. In response, it maintained that the claims containing one component constitute the intermediate product and the claims containing more than one component constitute the final product. Applicants have not submitted evidence or identified such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admitted on the record that this is the case. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 4. Claims 37-47, 51, 52, 57, 58, 64, 65, 71, 72 and 74-77 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 22, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/053,659

Art Unit: 1711

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 48-50, 53-56, 59-63, 66-70, 73 and 78-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 95/22358.

The reference discloses absorbent structures and materials comprising a mixture of (1) a plurality of absorbent gelling particles comprising a water-insoluble, water-swellable polymer, and (2) an absorbent property modification polymer. Most preferred polymer materials for use in making the water-insoluble, water-swellable polymer are surface cross linked hydrogel-forming absorbent polymers, examples of such are partially neutralized polyacrylic acids meeting the requirements of applicants' acrylic acid and/or salt –based polymer (page 14, lines 24-37). See working examples, which demonstrate various absorbent materials meeting the terms of the present claims with respect to the types of materials added.

It is reasonably believed that the absorbent materials of the reference, being essentially the same as applicants', would inherently meet all property limitations governing the present claims. The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from that set forth by the reference.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

AW